

Jane L. Froyd (SBN 220776)  
JONES DAY  
1755 Embarcadero Road  
Palo Alto, CA 94303  
Phone: (650) 739-3939  
Fax: (650) 739-3900  
jfroyd@jonesday.com

Attorney for Defendant  
The Scotts Company LLC

Kathryn G. Spelman, Esq. (SBN 154512)  
Daniel H. Fingerman, Esq. (SBN 229683)  
Mount & Stoelker  
RiverPark Tower, Suite 1650  
333 West San Carlos Street  
San Jose CA 95110-2740  
Phone: (408) 279-7000  
Fax: (408) 998-1473  
kspelman@mount.com,  
dfingerman@mount.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
San Francisco Technology, Inc.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

San Francisco Technology, Inc.,

Plaintiff,

v.

Aero Products International, Inc., BP  
Lubricants USA Inc., BRH Brands, Inc.,  
Calico Brands, Inc., Cooper Lighting, LLC,  
Darex LLC, Dexas International Ltd., Dyna-  
Gro Nutrition Solutions, Fiskars Brands, Inc.,  
Global Concepts, Inc., Homax Products, Inc.,  
Kimberly-Clark Corporation, Kraco  
Enterprises LLC, Lixit Corporation, Mead  
Westvaco Corporation, Nutrition 21 Inc.,  
Oatey Co., Optimum Technologies, Inc.,  
Newell Rubbermaid Inc., Schick  
Manufacturing, Inc., The Scotts Company  
LLC, Sterling International, Inc., Vitamin  
Power Incorporated, Woodstream  
Corporation, 4-D Design, Inc.,

Defendants.

Case No. 5:10-cv-02994-JF

**STIPULATED ORDER OF  
DISMISSAL**

1 The parties, plaintiff San Francisco Technology, Inc. ("SF Tech") and defendant The  
2 Scotts Company LLC ("Scotts"), by counsel, hereby stipulate pursuant to Rule 41(a) (1)(A)(i) of  
3 the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure to the dismissal of all claims against The Scotts Company  
4 LLC in the lawsuit captioned above, with prejudice. Each party shall bear its own costs and  
5 attorneys' fees.

6 SF Tech and Scotts agree and stipulate that any and all claims that have been or could  
7 have been brought by SF Tech, on behalf of itself, the United States and the general public,  
8 regarding Scotts' alleged false marking or advertising or causing or contributing to false marking  
9 or advertising under 35 U.S.C. §292 of any product manufactured or sold are fully resolved and  
10 are hereby dismissed with prejudice.

11 SF Tech and Scotts agree and stipulate that Scotts and those acting in concert therewith  
12 may have a reasonable period of time in which to sell inventory that has been manufactured on or  
13 before the date of this order without further liability.

14 SF Tech and Scotts agree and stipulate that SF Tech is in privity with the United States of  
15 America and the general public for the purposes of this litigation.

16 IT IS SO AGREED AND STIPULATED.  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

29  
Dated: October ~~29~~, 2010

JONES DAY

By: /s/ Jane L. Froyd  
Jane L. Froyd

Attorney for Defendant  
The Scotts Company LLC

29  
Dated: October ~~29~~, 2010

Mount & Stoelker, P.C.,

By: /s/ Dan Fingerman  
Dan Fingerman

Attorney for Plaintiff  
San Francisco Technology, Inc.

I attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from Jane L. Froyd.

29  
Dated: October ~~29~~, 2010


Mount & Stoelker, P.C.,

By: /s/ Dan Fingerman  
Dan Fingerman

Attorney for Plaintiff  
San Francisco Technology, Inc.

SO ORDERED:

12/1/10  
Date

  
The Honorable Jeremy Fogel  
United States District Court Judge